2013 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count Key Findings for the Los Angeles Continuum of Care by Service Planning Area & **Supervisorial District**

Published by: The Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority

Updated November 20, 2013





		2013			2011			2011	- 2013	
	Total Homeless	Hidden Homeless	Shelter & Street Count Only	Total Homeless	Hidden Homeless	Shelter & Street Count Only	•	s in Total ieless		n Shelter & ount Only
LA CoC Overall	53,798	18,274	35,524	45,422	10,800	34,622	+8,376	+18.4%	+902	+2.6%
SPA 1	6,957	4,843	2,114	1,412	0	1,412	+5,545	+392.7%	+702	+49.7%
SPA 2	7,790	2,953	4,837	4,727	1,007	3,720	+3,063	+64.8%	+1,117	+30.0%
SPA 3	4,444	1,649	2,795	3,918	1,419	2,499	+526	+13.4%	+296	+11.8%
SPA 4	10,470	0	10,470	11,571	1,485	10,086	-1,101	-9.5%	+384	+3.8%
SPA 5	4,662	995	3,667	3,512	0	3,512	+1,150	+32.7%	+155	+4.4%
SPA 6	11,234	4,190	7,044	8,735	1,195	7,540	+2,499	+28.6%	-496	-6.6%
SPA 7	2,430	0	2,430	4,759	1,551	3,208	-2,329	-48.9%	-778	-24.3%
SPA 8	5,811	3,644	2,167	6,788	4,143	2,645	-977	-14.4%	-478	-18.1%

- Before including the "Hidden Homeless" (homeless people who would not have been seen in the street or shelter count) homelessness in the Los Angeles Continuum of Care (LA CoC) increased to 35,524 men, women and children (+2.6%) in the street and shelter count over 2011 estimates
- When estimates for the Hidden Homeless are included, the LA CoC number increases to 53,798 people, an increase (+8,376 people or +18.4%) versus the 45,422 counted in 2011
- Looking at the totals without the Hidden Homeless, SPA 1 and SPA 2 had the biggest increases with +49.7% and +30.0%, respectively; the biggest decreases were in SPA 7 and SPA 8 with -24.3% and -18.1%
- When factoring in Hidden Homeless numbers, SPA 1 had the biggest increase followed by SPAs 2, 5, 6 and 3; SPAs 7, 8 and 4 demonstrated decreases

2013 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count Key Findings – Service Planning Areas Homelessness by Household Type



Comparison of Service Planning Area (SPA) Homelessness by Household Type, 2011 vs. 2013


		0	20	13						20)11			
	Total	Single A	Adults	Fan Mem			mpanied (<18)	Total	Single	Adults	Fan Mem	'	Unaccor Youth	mpanied (<18)
LA CoC Overall	53,798	46,303	86%	6,678	12%	817	2%	45,422	35,838	79%	9,218	20%	366	1%
SPA 1	6,957	6,539	94%	393	6%	25	<1%	1,412	889	63%	517	37%	6	<1%
SPA 2	7,790	6,719	86%	1,012	13%	59	1%	4,727	3,821	81%	866	18%	40	1%
SPA 3	4,444	3,935	89%	487	11%	22	<1%	3,918	3,385	86%	526	13%	7	<1%
SPA 4	10,470	8,598	82%	1,572	15%	300	3%	11,571	9,655	83%	1,781	15%	135	1%
SPA 5	4,662	3,932	84%	646	14%	84	2%	3,512	2,804	80%	681	19%	27	1%
SPA 6	11,234	9,434	84%	1,518	14%	282	3%	8,735	5,582	64%	3,017	35%	136	2%
SPA 7	2,430	1,901	78%	499	21%	30	1%	4,759	3,868	81%	888	19%	3	<1%
SPA 8	5,811	5,245	90%	551	9%	15	<1%	6,788	5,834	86%	942	14%	12	<1%

Changes in Service Planning Area (SPA) Homelessness by Household Type, 2011 - 2013

				2011 ·	- 2013			
	2011 · Chai		Single	Adults	Family N	lembers		mpanied (<18)
LA CoC Overall	+8,376	+18%	+10,465	+29%	-2,540	-28%	+451	+123%
SPA 1	+5,545	+393%	+5,650	+636%	-124	-24%	+19	+317%
SPA 2	+3,063	+65%	+2,898	+76%	+146	+17%	+19	+48%
SPA 3	+526	+13%	+550	+16%	-39	-7%	+15	+214%
SPA 4	-1,101	-10%	-1,057	-11%	-209	-12%	+165	+122%
SPA 5	+1,150	+33%	+1,128	+40%	-35	-5%	+57	+211%
SPA 6	+2,499	+29%	+3,852	+69%	-1,499	-50%	+146	+107%
SPA 7	-2,329	-49%	-1,967	-51%	-389	-44%	+27	+900%
SPA 8	-977	-14%	-589	-10%	-391	-42%	+3	+25%


2013 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count Key Findings – Service Planning Areas <u>Homelessness Population Totals</u>






2013 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count Key Findings – Service Planning Areas Single Adult Homelessness






2013 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count Key Findings – Service Planning Areas Family Homelessness





2013 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count Key Findings – Service Planning Areas Unaccompanied Youth Homelessness





- For the purposes of HUD reporting, CoCs must be able to report separately on unaccompanied homeless children who are under age 18.
- Defining youth as under age 18 allows HUD to use the same definition for all reporting related to homeless programs. Transition Age Youth (TAY), or youth between ages 18-25, are counted as part of the Single Adult population.

2013 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count Key Findings – Service Planning Areas Homelessness by Household Type



The LA CoC had an overall increase in homelessness (18.4%); there were increases in 5 of the 8 SPAs with the biggest increase in homelessness in SPA 1 (+393%) and decreases in SPAs 4 (-10%), 7 (-49%) and 8 (-14%)

- Single Adults biggest increase in household types in 5 of the 8 SPAs with the biggest increase also occurring in SPA 1 (+636%);
- Family Members decreased in every SPA except for SPA 2 (+17%);
 biggest decreases were in SPAs 6 (-50%), 7 (-44%) and 8 (-42%)
- Unaccompanied Youth increased in every single SPA with the biggest increases in SPA 7 (+900%), SPA 1 (+317%), SPA 3 (+214%) and SPA 5 (+211%)

2013 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count Key Findings – Service Planning Areas Homelessness by Household Type



	Тс	otal		Single	Adults			Family N	Nembers		Unac	companie	d Youth	(<18)
	2011	2013	20	11	20	13	20	11	20	13	20	011	20)13
SPA 1	1,412	6,957	889	2%	6,539	14%	517	6%	393	6%	6	2%	25	3%
SPA 2	4,727	7,790	3,821	11%	6,719	15%	866	9%	1,012	15%	40	11%	59	7%
SPA 3	3,918	4,444	3,385	9%	3,935	9%	526	6%	487	7%	7	2%	22	3%
SPA 4	11,571	10,470	9,655	27%	8,598	19%	1,781	19%	1,572	24%	135	37%	300	37%
SPA 5	3,512	4,662	2,804	8%	3,932	8%	681	7%	646	10%	27	7%	84	10%
SPA 6	8,735	11,234	5,582	16%	9,434	20%	3,017	33%	1,518	23%	136	37%	282	34%
SPA 7	4,759	2,430	3,868	11%	1,901	4%	888	10%	499	7%	3	1%	30	4%
SPA 8	6,788	5,811	5,834	16%	5,245	11%	942	10%	551	8%	12	3%	15	2%
LA CoC Total	45,422	53,798	35,838	100%	46,303	100%	9,218	100%	6,678	100%	366	100%	817	100%

Comparison of Service Planning Area (SPA) Geographic Distribution of Homeless Households, 2011 vs. 2013



		To	tal	Shelt	tered	Unshe	eltered	Hidden I	Homeless
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	2013	53,798	100.0%	12,934	24.0%	22,590	42.0%	18,274	34.0%
LA CoC Overall	2011	45,422	100.0%	16,882	37.2%	17,740	39.0%	10,800	23.8%
Overall	Changes	+8,376	+18.4%	-3,948	-23.4%	+4,850	+27.3%	+7,474	+69.2%
	2013	6,957	100.0%	309	4.4%	1,805	26.0%	4,843	69.6%
SPA 1	2011	1,412	100.0%	521	36.9%	891	63.1%	0	0.0%
	Changes	+5,545	+392.6%	-212	-40.7%	+914	+102.5%	+4,843	N/A
	2013	7,790	100.0%	1,370	17.6%	3,467	44.5%	2,953	37.9%
SPA 2	2011	4,727	100.0%	1,417	30.0%	2,303	48.7%	1,007	21.3%
	Changes	+3,063	+64.8%	-47	-3.3%	+1,164	+50.5%	+1,946	+193.29
	2013	4,444	100.0%	1,367	30.8%	1,428	32.1%	1,649	37.1%
SPA 3	2011	3,918	100.0%	1,475	37.7%	1,024	26.1%	1,419	36.2%
	Changes	+526	+13.4%	-108	-7.3%	+404	+39.4%	+230	+16.2%
	2013	10,470	100.0%	4,337	41.4%	6,133	58.6%	0	0.0%
SPA 4	2011	11,571	100.0%	5,338	46.1%	4,748	41.1%	1,485	12.8%
	Changes	-1,101	-9.5%	-1,001	-18.8%	+1,385	+29.2%	-1,485	-100.0%
	2013	4,662	100.0%	1,515	32.5%	2,152	46.2%	995	21.3%
SPA 5	2011	3,512	100.0%	1,687	48.0%	1,825	52.0%	0	0.0%
	Changes	+1,150	+32.8%	-172	-10.2%	+327	+18.0%	+995	N/A
	2013	11,234	100.0%	2,390	21.3%	4,654	41.4%	4,190	37.3%
SPA 6	2011	8,735	100.0%	3,855	44.1%	3,686	42.2%	1,194	13.7%
	Changes	+2,499	+28.6%	-1,465	-38.0%	+968	+26.3%	+2,996	+250.9%
	2013	2,430	100.0%	897	36.9%	1,533	63.1%	0	0.0%
SPA 7	2011	4,759	100.0%	1,242	26.1%	1,966	41.3%	1,551	32.6%
	Changes	-2,329	-48.9%	-345	-27.8%	-433	-22.0%	-1,551	-100.0%
	2013	5,811	100.0%	749	12.9%	1,418	24.4%	3,644	62.7%
SPA 8	2011	6,788	100.0%	1,347	19.8%	1,297	19.1%	4,144	61.1%
	Changes	-977	-14.4%	-598	-44.4%	+121	+9.4%	-500	-12.1%



Comparison of Service Planning Area (SPA) Homelessness Age Totals, 2011 vs. 2013

					·	2013									2011				
		LA CoC Overall	SPA 1 Antelope Valley	SPA 2 San Fernando Valley	SPA 3 San Gabriel Valley	SPA 4 Metro LA	SPA 5 West LA	SPA 6 South LA	SPA 7 East LA County	SPA 8 South Bay	LA CoC Overall	Antelone	SPA 2 San Fernando Valley	SPA 3 San Gabriel Valley	SPA 4 Metro LA	SPA 5 West LA	SPA 6 South LA	SPA 7 East LA County	SPA 8 South Bay
Under	· 18	4,667	256	624	308	1,204	439	1,209	305	322	6,112	339	553	363	1,230	427	2,053	540	607
18-2	4	5,737	602	802	485	1,347	541	1,130	306	524	3,708	60	89	321	1,303	358	568	467	542
25-5	4	31,004	4,307	4,531	2,615	5,730	2,646	6,343	1,312	3,520	25,585	902	2,787	2,374	7,033	1,881	4,324	2,818	3,466
55-6	1	7,808	1,114	1,150	657	1,400	658	1,603	323	903	6,468	66	765	383	1,391	527	1,192	701	1,443
62 & O	lder	4,582	678	683	379	789	378	949	184	542	3,549	45	533	477	614	319	598	233	730
Tota	al I	53,798	6,957	7,790	4,444	10,470	4,662	11,234	2,430	5,811	45,422	1,412	4,727	3,918	11,571	3,512	8,735	4,759	6,788

Comparison of Service Planning Area (SPA) Homelessness by Age Prevalence Rates, 2011 vs. 2013

	LA (Ove	CoC erall	Ante	A 1 lope ley	San Fe	A 2 rnando ley	San G	A 3 abriel lley	SP/ Meti			A 5 st LA	SP/ Sout		SP/ East LA		SP/ Soutl	
	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013
Under 18	14%	9%	24%	4%	12%	8%	9%	7%	11%	11%	12%	9%	23%	11%	11%	12%	9%	6%
18-24	8%	11%	4%	8%	2%	10%	8%	11%	11%	13%	10%	12%	7%	10%	10%	13%	8%	9%
25-54	56%	58%	64%	62%	59%	58%	61%	59%	61%	55%	54%	57%	49%	57%	59%	54%	51%	61%
55-61	14%	14%	5%	16%	16%	15%	10%	15%	12%	13%	15%	14%	14%	14%	15%	13%	21%	15%
62 & Older	8%	8%	3%	10%	11%	9%	12%	8%	5%	8%	9%	8%	7%	8%	5%	8%	11%	9%



		SPA 1																	
				SP	A 1	SP/	A 2	SP	A 3	SP/	4	SP/	A 5	SP/	A 6	SP/	47	SP	A 8
		LA CoC (Overall		elope Iley	San Fer Val			Gabriel Iley	Metr	o LA	Wes	t LA	Sout	h LA	East LA	County	Sout	h Bay
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Un	nder 18	-1,445	-24%	-83	-24%	+71	+13%	-55	-15%	-26	-2%	+12	+3%	-844	-41%	-235	-44%	-285	-47%
1	L8-24	+2,029	+55%	+542	+903%	+713	+801%	+164	+51%	+44	+3%	+183	+51%	+562	+99%	-161	-34%	-18	-3%
2	25-54	+5,419	+21%	+3,405	+377%	+1,744	+63%	+241	+10%	-1,303	-19%	+765	+41%	+2,019	+47%	-1,506	-53%	+54	+2%
5	55-61	+1,340	+21%	+1,048	+1,588%	+385	+50%	+274	+72%	+9	+1%	+131	+25%	+411	+34%	-378	-54%	-540	-37%
62	& Older	+1,033	+29%	+633	+1,407%	+150	+28%	-98	-21%	+175	+29%	+59	+18%	+351	+59%	-49	-21%	-188	-26%
-	Total	+8,376	+18%	+5,545	+393%	+3,063	+65%	+526	+13%	-1,101	-10%	+1,150	+33%	+2,499	+29%	-2,329	-49%	-977	-14%

Changes in Service Planning Area (SPA) Homelessness Age Totals, 2011 - 2013

Overall, in the Los Angeles CoC our homeless population is getting older. SPA 1 had huge increases in almost every age category except for those under 18; SPA 2 had the biggest increase in the Transitional Age Youth (TAY) population; SPA 3's homeless population increased in those from 18-61 and decreased in those under 18 or those 62 and older; SPA 4 had a large decrease in their homeless population 25-54 while a large increase in their 62 and older population; SPA 5 had increases in every age group but particularly those 18-24; SPA 6 had big increases in every age group except for those under 18; SPA 7 had big decreases in every age group; SPA 8 had decreases in every age group except for those aged 25-54.



Comparison of Serv	ice Pla	nning A	Area (S	PA) Ho	meless	Subpo	pulati	on Tota	als, 201	1 vs. 2	013							
		CoC	SP.	A 1	SP.	A 2	SP.	A 3	SP	A 4	SP	A 5	SP	A 6	SP	47	SP	A 8
		erall		elope lley		rnando lley		abriel ley	Met	ro LA	Wes	st LA	Sout	h LA	East LA	County	Sout	h Bay
	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013
Chronically Homeless Individual	10,901	13,613	209	2,176	1,489	2,085	1,149	1,147	2,175	2,092	1,076	1,029	2,073	2,960	1,078	491	1,652	1,633
Chronically Homeless Family Members	2,730	1,227	67	95	93	185	324	86	578	242	207	126	679	277	203	105	579	111
Substance Abuse	15,489	16,892	238	2,550	1,228	2,563	1,849	1,360	3,691	2,840	1,169	1,369	2,803	3,528	1,734	673	2,777	2,009
Mentally III	14,830	16,229	287	2,287	1,643	2,397	1,472	1,348	4,419	2,957	1,670	1,370	3,023	3,337	1,283	684	1,033	1,849
Veterans	8,131	6,248	90	826	554	900	381	533	1,656	1,216	1,004	546	1,069	1,266	856	276	2,521	685
Persons with HIV/AIDS	1,104	511	14	61	149	71	106	45	634	109	25	47	113	101	6	24	57	53
Survivors of Domestic Violence	4,610	4,827	211	618	245	687	697	416	1,078	968	292	429	841	972	561	218	685	519
Physical Disability	9,993	9,790	143	1,280	1,239	1,405	754	838	2,199	1,924	1,080	860	1,964	1,980	1,563	436	1,051	1,067

Comparison of Service Planning Area (SPA) Homeless Subpopulations Prevalence Rates, 2011 vs. 2013

	LA	CoC	SP			A 2	SP.		SP.	A 4	SP.	A 5	SP	A 6	SP.	A 7	SP	A 8
	Ove	rall		lope lley		rnando lley		abriel lley	Met	ro LA	Wes	it LA	Sout	h LA	East LA	County	Sout	h Bay
	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013
Chronically Homeless Individual	24%	25%	15%	31%	31%	27%	29%	26%	19%	20%	31%	22%	24%	26%	23%	20%	24%	28%
Chronically Homeless Family Members	6%	2%	5%	1%	2%	2%	8%	2%	5%	2%	6%	3%	8%	2%	4%	4%	9%	2%
Substance Abuse	34%	31%	17%	37%	26%	33%	47%	31%	32%	27%	33%	29%	32%	31%	36%	28%	41%	35%
Mentally III	33%	30%	20%	33%	35%	31%	38%	30%	38%	28%	48%	29%	35%	30%	27%	28%	15%	32%
Veterans	18%	12%	6%	12%	12%	12%	10%	12%	14%	12%	29%	12%	12%	11%	18%	11%	37%	12%
Persons with HIV/AIDS	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	1%
Survivors of Domestic Violence	10%	9%	15%	9%	5%	9%	18%	9%	9%	9%	8%	9%	10%	9%	12%	9%	10%	9%
Physical Disability	22%	18%	10%	18%	26%	18%	19%	19%	19%	18%	31%	18%	22%	18%	33%	18%	15%	18%



Comparison of Service Planning Area (SPA) Homeless Subpopulation Totals, 2013 vs. 2011

					2013									2011				
		SPA 1	SPA 2	SPA 3	SPA 4	SPA 5	SPA 6	SPA 7	SPA 8		SPA 1	SPA 2	SPA 3	SPA 4	SPA 5	SPA 6	SPA 7	SPA 8
	LA CoC Overall	Antelope Valley	San Fernando Valley	San Gabriel Valley	Metro LA	West LA	South LA	East LA County	South Bay	LA CoC Total	Antelope Valley	San Fernando Valley	San Gabriel Valley	Metro LA	West LA	South LA	East LA County	South Bay
Chronically Homeless Individual	13,613	2,176	2,085	1,147	2,092	1,029	2,960	491	1,633	10,901	209	1,489	1,149	2,175	1,076	2,073	1,078	1,652
Chronically Homeless Family	1,227	95	185	86	242	126	277	105	111	2,730	67	93	324	578	207	679	203	579
Substance Abuse	16,892	2,550	2,563	1,360	2,840	1,369	3,528	673	2,009	15,489	238	1,228	1,849	3,691	1,169	2,803	1,734	2,777
Mentally III	16,229	2,287	2,397	1,348	2,957	1,370	3,337	684	1,849	14,830	287	1,643	1,472	4,419	1,670	3,023	1,283	1,033
Veterans	6,248	826	900	533	1,216	546	1,266	276	685	8,131	90	554	381	1,656	1,004	1,069	856	2,521
Persons with HIV/AIDS	511	61	71	45	109	47	101	24	53	1,104	14	149	106	634	25	113	6	57
Survivors of Domestic Violence	4,827	618	687	416	968	429	972	218	519	4,610	211	245	697	1,078	292	841	561	685
Physical Disability	9,790	1,280	1,405	838	1,924	860	1,980	436	1,067	9,993	143	1,239	754	2,199	1,080	1,964	1,563	1,051

Changes in Service Planning Area (SPA) Homeless Subpopulation Totals, 2011 - 2013

	LAC	CoC	SP/	41	SP/		SP		SP/	\ 4	SP.	A 5	SP.	A 6	SP	A 7	SP/	A 8
	Ove		Antelop	e Valley	San Fei Val	rnando ley		abriel lley	Metr	o LA	We	st LA	Sout	h LA	East LA	County	South	n Bay
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Chronically Homeless Individual	+2,712	+25%	+1,967	+941%	+596	+40%	-2	-<1%	-83	-4%	-47	-4%	+887	+43%	-587	-54%	-19	-1%
Chronically Homeless Family	-1,503	-55%	+28	+42%	+92	+99%	-238	-73%	-336	-58%	-81	-39%	-402	-59%	-98	-48%	-468	-81%
Substance Abuse	+1,403	+9%	+2,312	+971%	+1,335	+109%	-489	-26%	-851	-23%	+200	+17%	+725	+26%	-1,061	-61%	-768	-28%
Mentally III	+1,399	+9%	+2,000	+697%	+754	+46%	-124	-8%	-1,462	-33%	-300	-18%	+314	+10%	-599	-47%	+816	+79%
Veterans	-1,883	-23%	+736	+818%	+346	+62%	+152	+40%	-440	-27%	-458	-46%	+197	+18%	-580	-68%	-1,836	-73%
Persons with HIV/AIDS	-593	-54%	+47	+336%	-78	-52%	-61	-58%	-525	-83%	+22	+88%	-12	-11%	+18	+300%	-4	-7%
Survivors of Domestic Violence	+217	+5%	+407	+193%	+442	+180%	-281	-40%	-110	-10%	+137	+47%	+131	+16%	-343	-61%	-166	-24%
Physical Disability	-203	-2%	+1,137	+795%	+166	+13%	+84	+11%	-275	-13%	-220	-20%	+16	+1%	-1,127	-72%	+16	+2%

Comparison of Service Planning Area (SPA) Homeless Subnonulation Totals 2011 vs 2013



Comparison of Serv	ICE F Ia	ming r	11ea (3	FA) 110	meress	Juppe	pulati		115, 201	.1 v3. 2	015							
		CoC	SP.	A 1	SP	A 2	SP.	A 3	SP	A 4	SP.	A 5	SP.	A 6	SP	47	SP	A 8
		erall		elope lley		rnando lley		abriel lley	Met	ro LA	Wes	st LA	Sout	h LA:	East LA	County	Sout	h Bay
	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013
Chronically Homeless Individual	10,901	13,613	209	2,176	1,489	2,085	1,149	1,147	2,175	2,092	1,076	1,029	2,073	2,960	1,078	491	1,652	1,633
Chronically Homeless Family Members	2,730	1,227	67	95	93	185	324	86	578	242	207	126	679	277	203	105	579	111
Substance Abuse	15,489	16,892	238	2,550	1,228	2,563	1,849	1,360	3,691	2,840	1,169	1,369	2,803	3,528	1,734	673	2,777	2,009
Mentally III	14,830	16,229	287	2,287	1,643	2,397	1,472	1,348	4,419	2,957	1,670	1,370	3,023	3,337	1,283	684	1,033	1,849
Veterans	8,131	6,248	90	826	554	900	381	533	1,656	1,216	1,004	546	1,069	1,266	856	276	2,521	685
Persons with HIV/AIDS	1,104	511	14	61	149	71	106	45	634	109	25	47	113	101	6	24	57	53
Survivors of Domestic Violence	4,610	4,827	211	618	245	687	697	416	1,078	968	292	429	841	972	561	218	685	519
Physical Disability	9,993	9,790	143	1,280	1,239	1,405	754	838	2,199	1,924	1,080	860	1,964	1,980	1,563	436	1,051	1,067

Comparison of Service Planning Area (SPA) Distribution by Homeless Subpopulations, 2011 vs. 2013

	LA	CoC	-	A 1		A 2		A 3	SP.	A 4	SP.	A 5	SP	A 6	SP	٩7	SP	A 8
		erall		elope lley	San Fe Val	rnando lley		abriel ley	Met	ro LA	Wes	t LA	Sout	h LA	East LA	County	Sout	h Bay
	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	2013
Chronically Homeless Individual	100%	100%	1.9%	16.0%	13.7%	15.3%	10.5%	8.4%	20.0%	15.4%	9.9%	7.6%	19.0%	21.7%	9.9%	3.6%	15.2%	12.0%
Chronically Homeless Family Members	100%	100%	2.5%	7.7%	3.4%	15.1%	11.9%	7.0%	21.2%	19.7%	7.6%	10.3%	24.9%	22.6%	7.4%	8.6%	21.2%	9.0%
Substance Abuse	100%	100%	1.5%	15.1%	7.9%	15.2%	11.9%	8.1%	23.8%	16.8%	7.5%	8.1%	18.1%	20.9%	11.2%	4.0%	17.9%	11.9%
Mentally III	100%	100%	1.9%	14.1%	11.1%	14.8%	9.9%	8.3%	29.8%	18.2%	11.3%	8.4%	20.4%	20.6%	8.7%	4.2%	7.0%	11.4%
Veterans	100%	100%	1.1%	13.2%	6.8%	14.4%	4.7%	8.5%	20.4%	19.5%	12.3%	8.7%	13.1%	20.3%	10.5%	4.4%	31.0%	11.0%
Persons with HIV/AIDS	100%	100%	1.3%	11.9%	13.5%	13.9%	9.6%	8.8%	57.4%	21.3%	2.3%	9.2%	10.2%	19.8%	0.5%	4.7%	5.2%	10.4%
Survivors of Domestic Violence	100%	100%	4.6%	12.8%	5.3%	14.2%	15.1%	8.6%	23.4%	20.1%	6.3%	8.9%	18.2%	20.1%	12.2%	4.5%	14.9%	10.8%
Physical Disability	100%	100%	1.4%	13.1%	12.4%	14.4%	7.5%	8.6%	22.0%	19.7%	10.8%	8.8%	19.8%	20.2%	15.6%	4.5%	10.5%	10.9%



- Due to the increase in the total homeless number, Chronically Homeless Individuals increased (+25%); however, the CoC noted decreases in Chronically Homeless Family Members (-55%), People with HIV/AIDS (-54%), and Veterans (-23%);
- SPAs 1 and 2 experienced the greatest increases; SPA 4 had decreases in every subpopulation followed by SPA 7
 - SPA 1 had major increases of over 600% in Substance Abuse (+971%), Chronically Homeless Individuals (+941%), Veterans (+818%), Mentally III (+697%) and Physical Disabilities (+795%)
 - SPA 2 had increases of over 100% in Survivors of Domestic Violence (+180%) and Substance Abuse (+109%)
 - SPA 4 was the only SPA to have a decrease in every subpopulation with major decreases occurring in People with HIV/AIDS (-83%) and Chronically Homeless Family Members (-58%)
 - While SPA 7 had a decrease in almost every subpopulation, however, there was an increase in People with HIV/AIDS (+300%)



		2013			2011			2011	- 2013	
	Total Homeless	Hidden Homeless	Shelter & Street Count Only	Total Homeless	Hidden Homeless	Shelter & Street Count Only	•	in Total eless	Changes in Street Co	n Shelter & ount Only
LA CoC Overall	53,798	18,274	35,524	45,422	10,800	34,622	+8,376	+18%	+902	+3%
SD 1	8,063	0	8,063	11,269	3830	7,439	-3,206	-28%	+624	+8%
SD 2	17,976	5,309	12,667	19,380	4,529	14,851	-1,404	-7%	-2,184	-15%
SD 3	12,319	3,948	8,371	8,048	1,007	7,041	+4,271	+53%	+1,330	+19%
SD 4	4,840	2524	2,316	3,372	809	2,563	+1,468	+44%	-247	-10%
SD 5	10,600	6,493	4,107	3,353	625	2,728	+7,247	+216%	+1,379	+51%

- Total Homeless Counts, including the Shelter, Street and Hidden Homeless numbers:
 - SD 5 reflected the largest increase, followed by SD 3 and SD 4 with +216%, +53% and +44%, respectively; there were decreases in SD 1 and SD 2 with -28% and -7%
- When looking at the Shelter and Street Count numbers only, SD 5, SD 3, and SD 1 reflected increases of +51%, +19%, +8%, respectively, and SD 4 and SD 2 reflected decreases of -15% and -10%, respectively

2013 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count Key Findings – Supervisorial Districts Homelessness by Household Type




Comparison of Supervisorial District (SD) Homelessness by Household Type, 2013 vs. 2011

				2013							2011			
	Total	Single A	Adults	Family N	lembers	Unaccor Youth	npanied (<18)	Total	Single A	Adults	Family N	lembers		mpanied (<18)
LA CoC Overall	53,798	46,303	86%	6,678	12%	817	2%	45,422	35,838	79%	9,218	20%	366	1%
SD 1	8,063	6,245	78%	1,713	21%	105	1%	11,269	9,186	81%	2,053	18%	30	<1%
SD 2	17,976	15,289	85%	2,372	13%	315	2%	19,380	14,740	76%	4,484	23%	156	1%
SD 3	12,319	10,758	87%	1,242	10%	319	3%	8,048	6,744	84%	1,152	14%	152	2%
SD 4	4,840	4,292	88%	535	11%	13	<1%	3,372	2,695	80%	661	19%	16	<1%
SD 5	10,600	9,719	92%	816	7%	65	1%	3,353	2,473	74%	868	25%	12	<1%

Comparison of Supervisorial District (SD) Geographic Distribution of Homeless Households, 2011 vs. 2013


	То	tal		Single	Adults			Family N	/lembers		Una	ccompanie	d Youth	(<18)
	2011	2013	20	11	20	13	20	11	20	13	20	011	20)13
SD 1	11,269	8,063	9,186	25.7%	6,245	13.5%	2,053	22.3%	1,713	25.7%	30	8.2%	105	12.8%
SD 2	19,380	17,976	14,740	41.1%	15,289	33.0%	4,484	48.6%	2,372	35.5%	156	42.6%	315	38.6%
SD 3	8,048	12,319	6,744	18.8%	10,758	23.2%	1,152	12.5%	1,242	18.6%	152	41.5%	319	39.0%
SD 4	3,372	4,840	2,695	7.5%	4,292	9.3%	661	7.2%	535	8.0%	16	4.4%	13	1.6%
SD 5	3,353	10,600	2,473	6.9%	9,719	21.0%	868	9.4%	816	12.2%	12	3.3%	65	8.0%
LA CoC Total	45,422	53,798	35,838	100%	46,303	100%	9,218	100%	6,678	100%	366	100%	817	100%






2013 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count Key Findings – Supervisorial Districts Single Adult Homelessness






2013 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count Key Findings – Supervisorial Districts Family Homelessness





2013 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count Key Findings – Supervisorial Districts Unaccompanied Youth Homelessness





- For the purposes of HUD reporting, CoCs must be able to report separately on Unaccompanied Youth, homeless children who are under age 18.
- Defining youth as under age 18 allows HUD to use the same definition for all reporting related to homeless programs. Transition Age Youth (TAY), or youth between ages 18-24, are counted as part of the Single Adult population.

2013 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count Key Findings – Supervisorial Districts Homelessness by Household Type



				2011 ·	- 2013			
		- 2013 nges	Single	Adults	Family N	lembers		mpanied 1 (<18)
LA CoC Overall	+8,376	+18%	+10,465	+29%	-2,540	-28%	+451	+123%
SD 1	-3,206	-28%	-2,941	-32%	-340	-17%	+75	+250%
SD 2	-1,404	-7%	+549	+4%	-2,112	-47%	+159	+102%
SD 3	+4,271	+53%	+4,014	+60%	+90	+8%	+167	+110%
SD 4	+1,468	+44%	+1,597	+59%	-126	-19%	-3	-19%
SD 5	+7,247	+216%	+7,246	+293%	-52	-6%	+53	+442%

2013 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count Key Findings – Supervisorial Districts Homelessness by Household Type



- The Los Angeles CoC had an overall increase in homelessness (+18%); there were increases in 3 of the 5 SDs with the biggest increase in homelessness in SD 5 (+216%) and largest decreases in SDs 1 (-28%) and 2 (-7%)
 - Single Adults increased in every SD except for SD 1 (-32%), with SD 5 having the biggest increase (+293%)
 - Family Members decreased in every SD except for SD 3 (+8%);
 biggest decrease was in SD 2 (-47%)
 - Unaccompanied Youth increased in 4 of the 5 SDs with the biggest increases occurring in SD 5 (+442%) and SD 2 (+250%). SD 4 is the only SD with a decrease (-19%)

Changes in Sheltered Hemolessness by Superviserial District (SD) 2011



Changes in Sheltered	Homelessine	ess by Super	visorial Dist			1			
		Тс	otal	Shelt	tered	Unshe	ltered	Hidden I	lomeless
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	2013	53,798	100.0%	12,934	24.0%	22,590	42.0%	18,274	34.0%
LA CoC	2011	45,422	100.0%	16,882	37.2%	17,740	39.0%	10,800	23.8%
Overall	Changes	+8,376	+18.4%	-3,948	-23.4%	+4,850	+27.3%	+7,474	+69.2%
	2013	8,063	100.0%	3,183	39.5%	4,880	60.5%	0	0.0%
SD 1	2011	11,269	100.0%	3,327	29.5%	4,112	36.5%	3,830	34.0%
	Changes	-3,206	-28.5%	-144	-4.3%	+768	+18.7%	-3,830	-100.0%
	2013	17,976	100.0%	5,432	30.2%	7,235	40.3%	5,309	29.5%
SD 2	2011	19,380	100.0%	8,956	46.2%	5,895	30.4%	4,529	23.4%
	Changes	-1,404	-7.2%	-3,524	-39.3%	+1,340	+22.7%	+780	+17.2%
	2013	12,319	100.0%	2,755	22.4%	5,616	45.6%	3,948	32.0%
SD 3	2011	8,048	100.0%	2,836	35.2%	4,205	52.3%	1,007	12.5%
	Changes	+4,271	+53.1%	-81	-2.9%	+1,411	+33.6%	+2,941	+292.1%
	2013	4,840	100.0%	773	16.0%	1,543	31.9%	2,524	52.1%
SD 4	2011	3,372	100.0%	929	27.6%	1,634	48.4%	809	24.0%
	Changes	+1,468	+43.5%	-156	-16.8%	-91	-5.6%	+1,715	+212.0%
	2013	10,600	100.0%	791	7.5%	3,316	31.3%	6,493	61.2%
SD 5	2011	3,353	100.0%	834	24.9%	1,894	56.5%	625	18.6%
	Changes	+7,247	+216.1%	-43	-5.2%	+1,422	+75.1%	+5,868	+938.9%

2012



Compariso	n of Super	visorial Dis	strict (SD) F	Iomelessne	ess Age Tot	als, 2011 vs	. 2013					
			20)13					20	011		
		SD 1	SD 2	SD 3	SD 4	SD 5		SD 1	SD 2	SD 3	SD 4	SD 5
	LA CoC Overall	Gloria Molina	Mark Ridley- Thomas	Zev Yaroslavsky	Don Knabe	Michael D. Antonovich	LA CoC Overall	Gloria Molina	Mark Ridley- Thomas	Zev Yaroslavsky	Don Knabe	Michael D. Antonovich
Under 18	4,667	1,087	1,713	990	327	550	6,107	1,309	3,010	829	399	560
18-24	5,737	1,003	1,979	1,336	464	955	3,432	941	1,087	945	362	97
25-54	31,004	4,312	10,239	7,140	2,877	6,436	26,353	6,801	11,256	4,353	1,835	2,108
55-61	7,808	1,059	2,562	1,797	734	1,656	6,249	1,350	2,834	1,237	554	274
62 & Older	4,582	602	1,483	1,056	438	1,003	3,281	868	1,193	684	222	314
Total	53,798	8,063	17,976	12,319	4,840	10,600	45,422	11,269	19,380	8,048	3,372	3,353

Comparison of Supervisorial District (SD) Homelessness Age Prevalence Rates, 2011 vs. 2013

	LA CoC	Overall	SD Claria) 1 Molina) 3	SD Don k		SD Michael D.	
	2011	2013	2011	2013	2011	ey-Thomas 2013	2011	oslavsky 2013	2011	2013	2011	2013
Under 18	13.4%	8.7%	11.6%	11.6% 13.5% 1		9.5%	10.3%	8.0%	11.8%	6.8%	16.7%	5.2%
18-24	7.6%	10.7%	8.3%	12.4%	5.6%	11.0%	11.7%	10.8%	10.8%	9.6%	2.9%	9.0%
25-54	58.0%	57.6%	60.4%	53.5%	58.1%	57.0%	54.1%	58.0%	54.4%	59.4%	62.8%	60.7%
55-61	13.8%	14.5%	12.0%	13.1%	14.6%	14.3%	15.4%	14.6%	16.4%	15.2%	8.2%	15.6%
62 & Older	7.2%	8.5%	7.7%	7.5%	6.2%	8.2%	8.5%	8.6%	6.6%	9.0%	9.4%	9.5%



	LA CoC	Overall	SD		SD		SD		SD		SE	
			Gloria	Molina	Mark Ridle	y-Thomas	Zev Yaro	oslavsky	Don k	Inabe	Michael D.	Antonovich
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Under 18	-1,440	-24%	-222	-17%	-1,297	-43%	+161	+19%	-72	-18%	-10	-2%
18-24	+2,305	+67%	+62	+7%	+892	+82%	+391	+41%	+102	+28%	+858	+885%
25-54	+4,651	+18%	-2,489	-37%	-1,017	-9%	+2,787	+64%	+1,042	+57%	+4,328	+205%
55-61	+1,559	+25%	-291	-22%	-272	-10%	+560	+45%	+180	+32%	+1,382	+504%
62 & Older	+1,301	+40%	-266	-31%	+290	+24%	+372	+54%	+216	+97%	+689	+219%
Total	+8,376	+18%	-3,206	-28%	-1,404	-7%	+4,271	+53%	+1,468	+44%	+7,247	+216%

Changes in Supervisorial District (SD) Homelessness Age Totals, 2011 - 2013

Overall, our homeless population is getting older. SD 1 had decreases in all of its age groups except 18-24. SD 2 had the biggest decrease in those under 18 (-43%), and increases in the TAY population (+82%) and 62 and older (+24%); SD 3 had big increases in every age category; SD 4 had increases in those 18 and older but decreases in those under 18; SD 5 had significant increases in every age category except for those under 18



Comparison of Supervisorial District (SD) Homeless Subpopulation Totals, 2011 vs. 2013

						SC	1			SC	2			SD	3			SE	94			SE	5	
	L	A CoC	Overall		G	loria	Molina		Mark	Ridle	ey-Tho	mas	Ze	v Yaro	oslavsk	y		Don k	(nabe				ael D. Iovich	
	201	1	201	13	201	.1	201	.3	20:	11	20	13	20	11	201	13	20	11	20	13	20	11	20:	13
Chronically Homeless Individual	10,901	24%	13,613	25%	2,289	20%	1,725	21%	4,419	23%	4,225	24%	2,205	27%	3,124	25%	1,055	31%	1,350	28%	933	28%	3,189	30%
Chronically Homeless Family Members	2,730	6%	1,227	2%	819	7%	259	3%	1,348	7%	462	3%	169	2%	224	2%	259	8%	108	2%	135	4%	174	2%
Substance Abuse	15,489	34%	16,892	31%	3,963	35%	2,204	27%	6,918	36%	5,375	30%	2,556	32%	3,905	32%	1,210	36%	1,628	34%	842	25%	3,780	36%
Mentally III	14,830	33%	16,229	30%	4,216	37%	2,246	28%	6,140	32%	5,307	30%	3,108	39%	3,745	30%	628	19%	1,514	31%	738	22%	3,417	32%
Veterans	8,131	18%	6,248	12%	1,020	9%	908	11%	3,788	20%	2,092	12%	2,073	26%	1,440	12%	865	26%	566	12%	385	11%	1,242	12%
Persons with HIV/AIDs	1,104	2%	511	1%	318	3%	80	1%	467	2%	177	1%	273	3%	117	1%	0	0%	44	1%	46	1%	93	1%
Survivors of Domestic Violence	4,610	10%	4,827	9%	1,703	15%	717	9%	1,605	8%	1,634	9%	694	9%	1,111	9%	329	10%	431	9%	279	8%	934	9%
Physical Disability	9,993	22%	9,790	18%	2,527	22%	1,433	18%	3,931	20%	3,289	18%	2,008	25%	2,256	18%	873	26%	882	18%	654	20%	1,930	18%



Comparison of Supervisorial District (SD) Homeless Subpopulation Totals, 2011 vs. 2013

			Ĩ	2013			2011											
		SD 1	SD 2	SD 3	SD 4	SD 5		SD 1	SD 2	SD 3	SD 4	SD 5						
	LA CoC Overall	Gloria Molina	Mark Ridley- Thomas	Zev Yaroslavsky	Don Knabe	Michael D. Antonovich	LA CoC Total	Gloria Molina	Mark Ridley- Thomas	Zev Yaroslavsky	Don Knabe	Michael D. Antonovich						
Chronically Homeless Individual	13,613	1,725	4,225	3,124	1,350	3,189	10,901	2,289	4,419	2,205	1,055	933						
Chronically Homeless Family Members	1,227	259	462	224	108	174	2,730	819	1,348	169	259	135						
Substance Abuse	16,892	2,204	5,375	3,905	1,628	3,780	15,489	3,963	6,918	2,556	1,210	842						
Mentally III	16,229	2,246	5,307	3,745	1,514	3,417	14,830	4,216	6,140	3,108	628	738						
Veterans	6,248	908	2,092	1,440	566	1,242	8,131	1,020	3,788	2,073	865	385						
Persons with HIV/AIDS	511	80	177	117	44	93	1,104	318	467	273	0	46						
Survivors of Domestic Violence	4,827	717	1,634	1,111	431	934	4,610	1,703	1,605	694	329	279						
Physical Disability	9,790	1,433	3,289	2,256	882	1,930	9,993	2,527	3,931	2,008	873	654						

Changes in Supervisorial District (SD) Homeless Subpopulation Totals, 2011 - 2013

	LA CoC Overall		SD 1 Gloria Molina		SD Mark Ridle	2 ey-Thomas	SD Zev Yarc	-	SE Don H) 4 (nabe	SD 5 Michael D. Antonovich		
	#	%	# %		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Chronically Homeless Individual	+2,712	+25%	-564	-25%	-194	-4%	+919	+42%	+295	+28%	+2,256	+242%	
Chronically Homeless Family Members	-1,503	-55%	-560	-68%	-886	-66%	+55	+33%	-151	-58%	+39	+29%	
Substance Abuse	+1,403	+9%	-1,759	-44%	-1,543	-22%	+1,349	+53%	+418	+35%	+2,938	+349%	
Mentally III	+1,399	+9%	-1,970	-47%	-833	-14%	+637	+20%	+886	+141%	+2,679	+363%	
Veterans	-1,883	-23%	-112	-11%	-1,696	-45%	-633	-31%	-299	-35%	+857	+223%	
Persons with HIV/AIDS	-593	-54%	-238	-75%	-290	-62%	-156	-57%	+44	-	+47	+102%	
Survivors of Domestic Violence	+217	+5%	-986	-58%	+29	+2%	+417	+60%	+102	+31%	+655	+235%	
Physical Disability	-203	-2%	-1,094	-43%	-642	-16%	+248	+12%	+9	+1%	+1,276	+195%	



Comparison of Supervisorial District (SD) Homeless Subpopulations Distribution, 2011 vs. 2013

					SD 1			SD 2				SD 3				SD 4				SD 5				
	LA CoC Overall			Gloria Molina			Mark Ridley-Thomas			Zev Yaroslavsky				Don Knabe				Michael D. Antonovich						
	2011		20	13	201	1	201	.3	20	11	20	2013 2011 2013		2013 2		2011		13	2011		2013			
Chronically Homeless Individual	10,901	100%	13,613	100%	2,289	21%	1,725	13%	4,419	41%	4,225	31%	2,205	20%	3,124	23%	1,055	10%	1,350	10%	933	9%	3,189	23%
Chronically Homeless Family Members	2,730	100%	1,227	100%	819	30%	259	21%	1,348	49%	462	38%	169	6%	224	18%	259	9%	108	9%	135	5%	174	14%
Substance Abuse	15,489	100%	16,892	100%	3,963	26%	2,204	13%	6,918	45%	5,375	32%	2,556	17%	3,905	23%	1,210	8%	1,628	10%	842	5%	3,780	22%
Mentally III	14,830	100%	16,229	100%	4,216	28%	2,246	14%	6,140	41%	5,307	33%	3,108	21%	3,745	23%	628	4%	1,514	9%	738	5%	3,417	21%
Veterans	8,131	100%	6,248	100%	1,020	13%	908	15%	3,788	47%	2,092	33%	2,073	25%	1,440	23%	865	11%	566	9%	385	5%	1,242	20%
Persons with HIV/AIDS	1,104	100%	511	100%	318	29%	80	16%	467	42%	177	35%	273	25%	117	23%	0	0%	44	9%	46	4%	93	18%
Survivors of Domestic Violence	4,610	100%	4,827	100%	1,703	37%	717	15%	1,605	35%	1,634	34%	694	15%	1,111	23%	329	7%	431	9%	279	6%	934	19%
Physical Disability	9,993	100%	9,790	100%	2,527	25%	1,433	15%	3,931	39%	3,289	34%	2,008	20%	2,256	23%	873	9%	882	9%	654	7%	1,930	20%



The Los Angeles CoC had increases in Chronically Homeless Individuals (+25%) and decreases in Veterans (-23%), People with HIV/AIDS (-54%), and Chronically Homeless Family Members (-55%); SD 1 had decreases in every subpopulation followed by SD 2, while SD 3 and SD 5 both had major increases in every subpopulation

- SD 1 had decreases in every category with People with HIV/AIDS (-75%), Chronically Homeless Family Members (-68%), and Survivors of Domestic Violence (-58%)
- SD 2 had big decreases in Chronically Homeless Family Members (-66%) and People with HIV/AIDS (-62%),
- SD 3 had increases in every category with the largest increases in Survivors of Domestic Violence (+60%), Substance Abuse (+53%) and Chronically Homeless Individuals (+42%)
- SD 5 had major increases of over 200% in Veterans (+223%), Survivors of Domestic Violence (+235%), Chronically Homeless Individuals (+242%), Substance Abuse (+349%) and Mentally III (+363%)